



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,942	07/31/2003	Asaf Atzmon	P-ORC-008-US	4966
66/905 7590 03/03/2010 Naomi Assia Law Offices C/O Landon IP Inc. 1725 Jamieson Ave. Alexandria, VA 22314				
EXAMINER BANTAMOL, ANTHONY				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2423				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
03/03/2010		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/632,942

Applicant(s)

ATZMON ET AL.

Examiner

ANTHONY BANTAMOI

Art Unit

2423

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/01/2009.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-52 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/CD)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____
- Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/01/2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With respect to claims 1 and 27, Applicant argues that the Zenoni reference neither teaches nor suggests "detecting events of interest within the media stream itself" (See Remarks on page eight, first and second paragraph).

Examiner disagrees with Applicants position because Zenoni teaches an ENS server (102) (event notification server) which stores events data (113) (events data can be weather updates, breaking news, stocks update, or scores update) and user preferences (114) wherein a comparator (110) detects an event data of interest to viewers by comparing or matching preferences versus event data when a successful match is found an ENT (event notification trigger is encoded in the video stream before it is transmitted to the STB (118) (Para. 0042-0043) a match between event data and user preference is a detection of an event of interest in the video stream provided by the content provider (figure 1, label 100). Therefore, Zenoni teaches "detecting an occurrence of an event of interest within a received media stream" as recited in claims 1 and 27.

In addition Zenoni teaches that the ENT (event notification trigger) includes events and enhancement information links wherein the enhancements information (web pages and streaming video) can be sent via multicast (Para. 0042, II. 42, Para. 0047, II.

12-14) which meets "multicasting at least one media stream of interest that comprises the event of interest".

Examiner recognizes that Applicant is trying to capture the idea of detecting an event of interest in a current media stream and multicasting the event of interest in the current media stream dynamically without human intervention but unfortunately the invention as claimed is yet to capture that idea.

The same arguments hold for the unwritten obviousness rejection arguments.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

Claims 1-5, 10-22, 25-31, 36-48, and 51-52 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by US Patent Publication 2004/0034873 to Zenoni. (Zenoni).

Regarding claim 1, Zenoni teaches a method for multicasting (Para. 0047, ll. 12-14) an event of interest (Para. 0041, ll. 21-24), the method comprises the steps of: detecting an occurrence of an event of interest within a received media stream (figure 5, steps 512, & 513); and multicasting (Para. 0047, ll. 12-14) at least one media stream of interest that comprises the event of interest (figure 6, step 608, 610, 618, & 620 (receives and displays event notification upon user selection displays event of interest)).

Regarding claim 2, Zenoni teaches the method further comprising multicasting (Para. 0047, ll. 12-14) the received media stream (figure 6, step 608, 610, 618, & 620 (receives and displays event notification upon user selection displays event of interest)).

Regarding claim 3, Zenoni teaches the method further comprising temporarily storing the received media stream (figure 1, label 113, Para. 0025, ll. 7-11 (storage 113 is temporary because it is constantly compared and updated to match user profile)).

Regarding claim 4, Zenoni teaches the method further comprising multicasting the received media stream substantially in parallel with said temporarily storing (Para. 0046, ll. 1-8 (because user can instantly switch between the two streams are inherently received in parallel)).

Regarding claim 5, Zenoni teaches the method wherein the step of detecting comprises analyzing the received media to check a compliance of a received media stream segment with an event detection criterion (Para. 0042, 1-12, & figure 5, steps 512-513).

Regarding claim 11, Zenoni teaches the method further comprising a step of notifying a client about an occurrence of an event of interest (Para. 0028, ll. 2-11(event notification trigger (ENT (141)))).

Regarding claim 10, Zenoni teaches the method wherein the step of detecting comprises image processing of the received media stream (figure 5, steps 512-513).

Regarding claim 12, Zenoni teaches the method wherein the step of notifying comprising displaying at least one symbol (Para. 0028, ll. 5-7 (link meets symbol)).

Regarding claim 13, Zenoni teaches the method wherein the step of notifying comprises displaying at least one image representative of the event of interest (figure 2D, label 213).

Regarding claim 14, Zenoni teaches the method wherein the step of notifying comprises sending to the client information for allowing the client to tune to a multicast media stream of interest (figure 2A, label 202).

Regarding claim 15, Zenoni teaches the method wherein the method comprises converting a media stream of interest to a sequence of images representative of the media stream of interest, and displaying the sequence of images, whereas the sequence of images is formatted such as to be processed by a client device unit other than a decoder (figure 2D, labels 212-213 (web browser is different from a decoder)).

Regarding claim 16, Zenoni teaches the method wherein the sequence of images is displayed at a client device in addition to another media stream (figure 2A, label, 203, & figure 2D, label 213).

Regarding claim 17, Zenoni teaches the method wherein a media stream of interest comprises multiple events of interest that occurred during a predefined period (Para. 0041, ll. 24-40).

Regarding claim 18, Zenoni teaches the method wherein a media stream of interest comprises multiple events of interest that are associated with a certain channel or program (Para. 0041, ll. 24-40, & figure 2A, label 204).

Regarding claim 19, Zenoni teaches the method wherein a media stream of interest comprises multiple events of interest of a certain type (Para. 0041, ll. 21-24).

Regarding claim 20, Zenoni teaches the method further comprising a step of updating media streams of interest according to update parameters (Para. 0041, ll. 21-28).

Regarding claim 21, Zenoni teaches the method further comprising a step of updating a media stream of interest in response to client feedback (Para. 0041, ll. 20-23).

Regarding claim 22, Zenoni teaches the method further comprising a step of updating a media stream of interest in response to client actions (Para. 0041, ll. 20-23).

Regarding claim 25, Zenoni teaches the method wherein the client is notified about an event of interest according to notification rules, whereas at least one notification rule is defined by the client (Para. 0041, ll. 21-28).

Regarding claim 26, Zenoni teaches the method wherein a client receives a first media stream (figure 2A, label 203) and is notified about an event of interest that occurred in a second media stream (figure 2A, label 204).

Regarding claim 27, Zenoni teaches a system for multicasting (Para. 0047, ll. 12-14) an event of interest (Para. 0041, ll. 21-24), the system comprises: means for detecting an occurrence of an event of interest within a received media stream (figure 5, steps 512, & 513); and means for multicasting (Para. 0047, ll. 12-14) at least one media stream of interest that comprises the event of interest (figure 6, step 608, 610, 618, & 620 (receives and displays event notification upon user selection displays event of interest)).

Regarding claim 28, Zenoni teaches the system further adapted to multicast (Para. 0047, ll. 12-14) the received media stream (figure 6, step 608, 610, 618, & 620 (receives and displays event notification upon user selection displays event of interest)).

Regarding claim 29, Zenoni teaches the system further comprising means for temporarily storing the received media stream (figure 1, label 113, Para. 0025, ll. 7-11 (storage 113 is temporary because it is constantly compared and updated to match user profile)).

Regarding claim 30, Zenoni teaches the system further adapted to multicast the received media stream substantially in parallel with said temporarily storing (Para. 0046, ll. 1-8 (because user can instantly switch between the two streams are inherently received in parallel)).

Regarding claim 31, Zenoni teaches the system wherein the detecting means analyze the received media to check a compliance of a received media stream segment with an event detection criterion (Para. 0042, 1-12, & figure 5, steps 512-513).

Regarding claim 36, Zenoni teaches the system wherein the detection means image process the received media stream to detect an event of interest (figure 5, steps 512-513).

Regarding claim 37, Zenoni teaches the system further comprising means for notifying a client about art occurrence of an event of interest (Para. 0028, ll. 2-11(event notification trigger (ENT (141)))).

Regarding claim 38, Zenoni teaches the system wherein the client is notified by a display of at least one symbol (Para. 0028, ll. 5-7 (link meets symbol)).

Regarding claim 39, Zenoni teaches the system wherein the client is notified by a display of at least one image representative of the event of interest (figure 2D, label 213).

Regarding claim 40, Zenoni teaches the system wherein notification means send to clients information for allowing the client to tune to a multicast media stream of interest (figure 2A, label 202).

Regarding claim 41, Zenoni teaches the system wherein the system further comprises means for converting a media stream of interest to a sequence of images representative of the media stream of interest, and whereas the means for notifying are operable to notify a client by a display of a sequence of images, whereas the sequence of images is formatted such as to be processed by a client device unit other than a decoder (figure 2D, labels 212-213 (web browser is different from a decoder)).

Regarding claim 42, Zenoni teaches the system wherein the sequence of images is displayed in addition to another media stream (figure 2A, label, 203, & figure 2D, label 213).

Regarding claim 43, Zenoni teaches the system wherein a media stream of interest comprises multiple events of interest that occurred during a predetermined period (Para. 0041, ll. 24-40).

Regarding claim 44, Zenoni teaches the system wherein a media stream of interest comprises multiple events of interest that are associated with a certain channel or program (Para. 0041, ll. 24-40, & figure 2A, label 204).

Regarding claim 45, Zenoni teaches the system wherein a media stream of interest comprises multiple events of interest of a certain type (Para. 0041, ll. 21-24).

Regarding claim 46, Zenoni teaches the system further comprising means for updating media streams of interest according to update parameters (Para. 0041, ll. 21-28).

Regarding claim 47, Zenoni teaches the system wherein the updating means are responsive to client feedback (Para. 0041, ll. 20-23).

Regarding claim 48, Zenoni teaches the system wherein the updating means are responsive to client actions (Para. 0041, ll. 20-23).

Regarding claim 51, Zenoni teaches the system capable of notifying a client about an event of interest according to notification rules, whereas at least one notification rule is defined by the client (Para. 0041, ll. 21-28).

Regarding claim 52, Zenoni teaches the system capable of notifying a client about an event of interest that occurred in a media stream other than the media stream to which the client device is tuned to (figure 2A, labels 203, & 204).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-9, and 34-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zenoni, in view of US 6,543,053 to Li et al. (Li).

Regarding claim 8, Zenoni teaches detecting an event in a media stream based on criteria (figure 5, steps 512-513).

Zenoni is silent on the method wherein the step of detecting comprises monitoring instant replay requests associated with a certain media stream, said requests being generated within a predefined time window.

In a similar field of endeavor Li teaches monitoring request for a particular media stream wherein multiple requesters are grouped together to receive a multicast of the requested stream as the grouping timer expires (col. 4, ll. 1-5, 8-10, figure 4, entire, & Abstract, ll. 3-8) which meets "the method wherein the step of detecting comprises monitoring instant replay requests associated with a certain media stream, said requests being generated within a predefined time window".

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the monitoring method of Zenoni to include the method wherein the step of detecting comprises monitoring instant replay requests associated with a certain media stream, said requests being generated within a predefined time window as taught by Li in order to support stream sharing wherein the number of users sharing a video stream can be increased or decreased based on the timer.

Regarding claim 9, Zenoni is silent on the method wherein the step of detecting comprises monitoring media distribution resource allocation.

In a similar field of endeavor Li teaches the method wherein the step of detecting comprises monitoring media distribution resource allocation (figure 4, step 406 (checks to see if the stream (resource) is available)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the monitoring method of Zenoni to include the method wherein the step of detecting comprises monitoring media distribution resource allocation as taught by Li in order to support stream sharing wherein the number of users sharing a video stream can be increased or decreased based on the timer.

Regarding claim 34, Zenoni teaches detecting an event in a media stream based on criteria (figure 5, steps 512-513).

Zenoni is silent on the system wherein the detection means are monitor instant replay requests associated with a certain media stream, said requests being generated with a predefined time window.

In a similar field of endeavor Li teaches monitoring request for a particular media stream wherein multiple requesters are grouped together to receive a multicast of the requested stream as the grouping timer expires (col. 4, ll. 1-5, 8-10, figure 4, entire, & Abstract, ll. 3-8) which meets "the system wherein the detection means are monitor instant replay requests associated with a certain media stream, said requests being generated with a predefined time window".

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the monitoring system of Zenoni to include the system wherein the detection means are monitor instant replay requests associated

with a certain media stream, said requests being generated with a predefined time window as taught by Li in order to support stream sharing wherein the number of users sharing a video stream can be increased or decreased based on the timer.

Regarding claim 35, Zenoni is silent on the system wherein the detection means monitor media distribution resource allocation.

In a similar field of endeavor Li teaches the system wherein the detection means monitor media distribution resource allocation (figure 4, step 406 (checks to see if the stream (resource) is available)).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the detection system of Zenoni to include the system wherein the detection means monitor media distribution resource allocation as taught by Li in order to support stream sharing wherein the number of users sharing a video stream can be increased or decreased based on the timer.

Claims 6-7, and 32-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zenoni, in view of US 6,414,914 to Lee et al. (Lee).

Regarding claim 6, Zenoni is silent on the method wherein the event detection criterion is responsive to a level of noise signal exceeds a threshold.

In a similar field of endeavor Lee teaches the method wherein the event detection criterion is responsive to a level of noise signal exceeds a threshold (col. 3, 43-45, & figure 1A).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the detection method of Zenoni to include the

method wherein the event detection criterion is responsive to a level of noise signal exceeds a threshold as taught by Lee in order to support automatic selection of scenes or sounds of interest for media replay.

Regarding claim 7, Zenoni is silent on the method wherein the event detection criterion is responsive to a level of audio signal within predefined frequency.

In a similar field of endeavor Lee the method wherein the event detection criterion is responsive to a level of audio signal within predefined frequency (col. 4, 40-42, & figure 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the detection method of Zenoni to include the method wherein the event detection criterion is responsive to a level of audio signal within predefined frequency as taught by Lee in order to support automatic selection of scenes or sounds of interest for media replay.

Regarding claim 32, Zenoni is silent on the system wherein the event detection criterion is responsive to a level of noise signal exceeds a threshold.

In a similar field of endeavor Lee teaches the system wherein the event detection criterion is responsive to a level of noise signal exceeds a threshold (col. 3, 43-45, & figure 1A).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the detection system of Zenoni to include the system wherein the event detection criterion is responsive to a level of noise signal

exceeds a threshold as taught by Lee in order to support automatic selection of scenes or sounds of interest for media replay.

Regarding claim 33, Zenoni is silent on the system wherein the event detection criterion is responsive to a level of audio signal within predefined frequency.

In a similar field of endeavor Lee the system wherein the event detection criterion is responsive to a level of audio signal within predefined frequency (col. 4, 40-42, & figure 3).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the detection system of Zenoni to include the system wherein the event detection criterion is responsive to a level of audio signal within predefined frequency as taught by Lee in order to support automatic selection of scenes or sounds of interest for media replay.

Claims 23-24, and 49-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Zenoni, in view of US 2002/0100052 to Daniels. (Daniels).

Regarding claim 23, Zenoni teaches receiving multicast streams that comprise events of interest (Para. 0041, ll. 21-24, & Para. 0047, ll. 12-14).

Zenoni is silent on the method further comprising a step of preventing additional received media streams that comprise an event of interest once the event of interest is streamed within a media stream of interest.

In analogous art Daniels teaches switching from one stream to another to prevent redundancy (Para. 0145, ll. 13-18) which meets "the method further comprising

a step of preventing additional received media streams that comprise an event of interest once the event of interest is streamed within a media stream of interest".

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Zenoni to include the method further comprising a step of preventing additional received media streams that comprise an event of interest once the event of interest is streamed within a media stream of interest as taught by Daniels in order to increase viewer satisfaction wherein a content already viewed will be automatically switched to another content that has not yet been viewed.

Regarding claim 24, Zenoni teaches receiving multicast streams that comprise events of interest (Para. 0041, ll. 21-24, & Para. 0047, ll. 12-14).

Zenoni is silent on the method further comprising a step of switching a client device to another stream preventing additional media streams that comprise an event of interest once the event of interest is received within a media stream of interest.

In analogous art Daniels teaches switching from one stream to another to prevent redundancy (Para. 0145, ll. 13-18) which meets "the method further comprising a step of switching a client device to another stream preventing additional received media streams that comprises an event of interest once the event of interest is received within a media stream of interest".

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the method of Zenoni to include the method further comprising a step of switching a client device to another stream preventing

additional received media streams that comprises an event of interest once the event of interest is received within a media stream of interest as taught by Daniels in order to increase viewer satisfaction wherein a content already viewed will be automatically switched to another content that has not yet been viewed.

Regarding claim 49, Zenoni teaches receiving multicast streams that comprise events of interest (Para. 0041, ll. 21-24, & Para. 0047, ll. 12-14).

Zenoni is silent on the system further comprising a step of preventing additional received media streams that comprise an event of interest once the event of interest is streamed within a media stream of interest.

In analogous art Daniels teaches switching from one stream to another to prevent redundancy (Para. 0145, ll. 13-18) which meets "the system further comprising a step of preventing additional received media streams that comprise an event of interest once the event of interest is streamed within a media stream of interest".

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Zenoni to include the system further comprising a step of preventing additional received media streams that comprise an event of interest once the event of interest is streamed within a media stream of interest as taught by Daniels in order to increase viewer satisfaction wherein a content already viewed will be automatically switched to another content that has not yet been viewed.

Regarding claim 50, Zenoni teaches receiving multicast streams that comprise events of interest (Para. 0041, ll. 21-24, & Para. 0047, ll. 12-14).

Zenoni is silent on the system further comprising a step of switching a client device to another stream preventing additional media streams that comprise an event of interest once the event of interest is received within a media stream of interest.

In analogous art Daniels teaches switching from one stream to another to prevent redundancy (Para. 0145, Il. 13-18) which meets "the system further comprising a step of switching a client device to another stream preventing additional received media streams that comprises an event of interest once the event of interest is received within a media stream of interest".

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Zenoni to include the system further comprising a step of switching a client device to another stream preventing additional received media streams that comprises an event of interest once the event of interest is received within a media stream of interest as taught by Daniels in order to increase viewer satisfaction wherein a content already viewed will be automatically switched to another content that has not yet been viewed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTHONY BANTAMOI whose telephone number is (571)270-3581. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Koenig can be reached on (571) 272 7296. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Anthony Bantamoi
Examiner
Art Unit 2423

/Anthony Bantamoi/
Examiner, Art Unit 2423

Application/Control Number: 10/632,942
Art Unit: 2423

Page 19

/Andrew Y Koenig/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2423